

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/018,064	10/30/2001	Andreas Arlt	IN-12097	5772
7:	590 03/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Basf Corporation			COONEY, JOHN M	
Patent Department 1609 Biddle Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wyandotte, MI 48192			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/018,064	ARLT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John m Cooney	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 December 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the original	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 <u>(</u> d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/018,064 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of "acrylic acid" in the reply filed on 12-23-04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that undue burden is not present for examining the related amine trap compounds. This is not found persuasive because each compound would need to be searched individually for their presence not just their function, and undue burden is maintained to be present.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

General Comments

An ordinary practitioner in the art would understand that the term "comprising" in claim 6 means "including", hence, the term "a polyurethane foam", alone, without further definition, as, for example, set forth in applicants' own claim 1, is sufficient definition of at least the minimum elements required to make a polyurethane foam in its broadest sense with the defined reaction products following the term "comprising" being components further included within the polyurethane foam.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

When materials recited in a claim are so related as to constitute a proper Markush group, they may be recited in the conventional manner (selected from the

Application/Control Number: 10/018,064

Art Unit: 1711

group "consisting of" A,B, and C) or alternatively (selected from A, B, or C). See M.P.E.P. 706.03(y). Claims 1 and 6 recite "and" in the 5th and 4th to last line, respectively, and in their last lines. The claims set forth improper Markush language and appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bodnar et al.(5,143,945).

Bodnar et al. disclose the preparation of polyurethane foams made in the presence of acrylic acid which read on the processes and products of applicants' claims (see column 4 line 9, as well as, the entire document). The number of species doesn't derogate from the teaching effect of the instant disclosure as the indicated species are recited as all workable for their functional group. Additionally, as conceded by applicants' own specification, amine group containing compounds are groups which are inherently formed through natural weathering and aging of foamed polyurethane products resulting from the cleavage of urethane groups. Accordingly, the remaining acrylic acid residing in the structure of the polyurethane products of Bodnar et al. would inherently react with the formed amines resulting from natural weathering and aging of

Art Unit: 1711

the foamed products so as to form the products defined by claim 6. Thus, claim 6 is not seen to be distinguished from the teachings of Bodnar et al.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 4-6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/843,016. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of 10/843,016 disclose preparations of polyurethane foams prepared in the presence of the compounds of group (vi) which overlap closely with the selections of species claimed by applicants. The variations and selections within the recited selections of the claims of 10/843,016 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in order to arrive at the products and processes of applicants' claims with the expectation of success.

Additionally, looking to pages 18 and 19 for defining supporting disclosure reveals

Application/Control Number: 10/018,064 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

species of the instant claims to be particularly preferred members from the larger group (vi) of the copending claims of 10/843,016. Additionally, the reaction of the materials of group (vi) with the amines formed upon cleavage of the urethane groups of the foamed product which contains them is a naturally occurring secondary reaction which occurs upon the breakdown of these products through aging and weathering and does not rise to the level of a distinguishing limitation in claim 6 of the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

-JOHN M. COUNEY, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sroup 1700